Ti PLASMID TRANSFER IN AGROBACTERIUM TUMEFACIENS AND ITS DETECTION SEROLOGICALLY
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ABSTRACT

Two pathogenic isolates (nos. 7 and 10) of Agrobacterium tumefaciens were isolated from tumoured apple seedlings in Egypt. The two isolates were subjected to the thermotherapy treatment at 37°C for three days for losing their pathogenicity. Two non-pathogenic mutants (M7 and M10) were obtained. The conjugation between the mother pathogenic isolates and their non-pathogenic resistant mutants were carried out on the tumoured apple seedlings inoculated before with the mother pathogenic isolates. The conjugative pathogenic derivatives were selected and compared serologically with their parents.

Crossed immuno electrophoresis (CIE) showed that three antigens were lost in the non-pathogenic mutants and were found in both the pathogenic mother isolates and their pathogenic derivatives (conjugatives).

INTRODUCTION


A. tumefaciens are almost indistinguishable from strains of the non-pathogenic species A. radiobacter, except that the former contains a large tumour – including Ti plasmid (Van Larebeke et al. 1974 and Zaenen et al. 1974) and are capable of induceng crown gall tumours on a variety of hosts. The pathogenic may transfer its Ti
plasmid to the non – pathogenic which becomes tumorigenic ( Lopez – Lopez , 1999 , Juta Bohne et al . 1998 , Judd et al . 2005 and Minhang et al .2007) . This conjugative transfer takes place in tumours or in vitro in presence of opines ( Kerr et al . 1977 and Ellis et al . 1982 ) .

The serological difference between pathogenic and their non-pathogenic mutants in some genera of bacteria were demonstrated in A . tumefaciens ( EL . Kady and Sule , 1982 and Brown and New , 1986 ), Agrobacterium vitis ( Mahmoodzadah et al ., 2003 and Kawagachi et al . 2008 ) , Pseudomonas syringae pv . phaseolicola ( EL – Kady et al ., 1986 ) . The aim of the present study is to apply quantitative immunelectrophoretical techniques to differentiate between the pathogenic isolates of A . tumefaciens and their pathogenic and non – pathogenic derivatives .

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A . Isolation of A . tumefaciens .

Sterile samples of tumours formed on apple seedlings collected from Alexandria . and El – Gharbia governorates were used for A . tumefaciens isolation. Nutrient broth medium were used . Pathogenicity tests were carried out according to Kerr and Panagopoulos , 1977 by inoculating young tomato and sunflower seedlings using a thick suspension containing $10^7 – 10^8$ cells / ml . Galls formation were assessed after three weeks from the inoculation .

B . Loosing and acquisition of the pathogenicity in A . tumefaciens

Loosing the pathogenicity of the pathogenic isolates of A . tumefaciens was carried out using the thermotherapy treatments at 37 °C for three continuous days. The incubated liquid cultures, were shaked in the incubator and renewed daily, the treated isolates were tested for pathogenicity on tomato and sunflowers seedlings . The non – pathogenic mutants were isolated and used for the conjugation and serological studies .

Acquisition of the pathogenicity ( Ti plasmid transfer ) of A . tumefaciens was carried out on the tumoured tomato seedling , inoculated before with the isolated pathogenic isolates ( no 7 or 10 ) of A . tumefaciens . The non – pathogenic mutants showing resistance to
500 ug m\(^{-1}\) streptomycin and 25 ug m\(^{-1}\) rifampcin (Brown and New 1986) were selected for the conjugation process. Acquisition of the pathogenicity (Ti plasmid transfer) were carried out on the tumoured tomato seedlings inoculated before with one of the pathogenic isolates. The resistant non-pathogenic mutants were inoculated on the tumoured seedlings. The transconjugants were selected by plating on the mating antibiotic selective medium and tested for pathogenicity on tomato and sunflower seedlings.

**C. Preparation of antigens and immunization:**

Bacterial cells were harvested from 3-days old shaked broth cultures containing peptone and glucose by centrifugation at 8000 r.p.m for 20 mins. The precipitate was washed three times with 0.85 % NaCl then the bacterial cells were sonicated by MSE ultrasonic disintegrator for 10 min, and the protein contents were determined according to Lowry et al. (1951) and adjusted to 20 mg / ml.

Antigens of *A. tumefaciens* isolates 7 and 10 were injected in the rabbit after mixing with the complete adjuvant (1:1 ratio).

Each rabbit received 8 injections (subcutaneously followed by intramuscularly) with one ml and increasing by 0.5 ml for the next injection. The rabbit was bled one week after the last injection.

Antiserum was prepared and immunoglobulins were isolated according to Axelsen et al. (1973).

The antibodies absorption was carried out according to Kiraly et al. (1970).

**D. Crossed immunoelectrophoresis (CIE) technique:**

CIE technique was used according to Axelsen et al., (1973) using 1.5 mm layer of 1% agarose and barbital buffer, pH 8.6, ionic strength 0.02. Application wells had a diameter of 4.0 mm. Ten µl antigen samples were applied in the wells. First dimension electrophoresis was carried out at 10 V/cm for 1 h, second – dimension run was made applying 1 V/cm for 16 h at 15°C. Second – dimensional gels contained 15 µl concentrated purified antibody per 1 cm. Immunoprecipitates were stained with Coomassie brilliant blue R – 250.
RESULTS

A. The pathogenic isolates of A. tumefaciens and their non-pathogenic derivatives:
Two non-pathogenic mutants of A. tumefaciens (nos. 7 and 10) were obtained by subjecting their mother pathogenic isolates (nos 7 and 10) to the thermotherapy treatment. The mutants failed to give any tumour symptoms on tomato and sunflower seedlings. On the other hand, two pathogenic conjugate derivatives of A. tumefaciens were obtained by the conjugation between the mother pathogenic isolates (nos. 7 and 10) and their non-pathogenic antibiotic resistant mutants. The conjugatives showed tumour symptoms on the tomato and sunflower seedlings (Table 1).

B. Serological comparisons between the pathogenic mother isolates of A. tumefaciens and their non-pathogenic (conjugatives) derivatives:
Crossed immunoelectrophoresis (CIE) revealed that the antigenic structures of the pathogenic mother isolates (nos. 7 and 10) of A. tumefaciens were 17 and 20 precipitation peaks (antigens), respectively, while the non-pathogenic mutants (M7 and M10) showed 14 and 17 antigens, respectively (Figs 1 and 2). On the other hand, the new pathogenic conjugate derivatives (X7 and X10) gave 17 and 20 antigens, respectively as in the pathogenic mother isolates (the same number of antigens). Results showed clearly that the non-pathogenic mutants of A. tumefaciens lost three antigens while their new pathogenic conjugate derivatives acquired again these antigens from the mother pathogenic isolates of A. tumefaciens (Table 2 and Figs. 1 and 2). Also, the results indicated that five and two antigens were found specific to A. tumefaciens isolates nos. 7 and 10, respectively while 15 antigens were found common (Table 2).
Fig. 1. CIE of *A. tumefaciens* isolates no.7 and its non-pathogenic and pathogenic derivatives. Antibodies of isolate no.7 were electrophorized with antigens of: (A) isolate no.7, (B) mutant M7 and (C) conjugative X7. Absorbed antibodies of isolate no.7 electrophorized with antigens of isolate no.7 or its pathogenic conjugative X7. Arrows indicate Ti–Plasmid (pathogenic) associated antigens of *A. tumefaciens*. 
Isolate no.10 were electrophorized with antigens of: (A) isolate no.10 (B) mutant M10 (C) conjugative X10 Absorbed antibodies of isolate no.10 electrophorized with antigens of isolate no.10 or conjugative X10. Arrows indicate Ti – plasmid (pathogenic) associated antigens of A. tumefaciens.
Table 1. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* isolates and their non-pathogenic and pathogenic derivatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. tumefaciens derivatives</th>
<th>Non – pathogenic A. tumefaciens mutants</th>
<th>Pathogenic A. tumefaciens conjugatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. tumefaciens Isolates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolate no. 7</td>
<td>M7</td>
<td>X7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolate no. 10</td>
<td>M10</td>
<td>X10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Serological comparison between the pathogenic isolates of *A. tumefaciens* and their non-pathogenic and pathogenic derivatives using CIE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antigens</th>
<th>Nos. of precipitin peaks (antigens) detected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. tumefaciens pathogenic isolates (7)</td>
<td>20(17+3*) 17 20(17+3*) 15(12+3*) 15(12+3*) 15(12+3*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15(12+3*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. tumefaciens pathogenic isolates (10)</td>
<td>12 14 17(14+3*)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* : Specific antigens for pathogenicity (Ti plasmid) in *A. tumefaciens*.

**DISCUSSION**

Ti plasmid is a large plasmid in *A. tumefaciens* contains many genes, some of them are associated to the pathogenicity (Judd *et al.* 2005 and Minhang *et al.* 2007). The losing of pathogenicity of *A. tumefaciens* is associated with the losing Ti plasmid or at least the
large part of it that contains the pathogenic gens. The present results clearly showed that the two non-pathogenic mutants (M7 and M10) of \textit{A. tumefaciens} lost both the pathogenicity and three antigens by comparison with the mother pathogenic isolates (nos.7 and 10). Similar results were obtained in \textit{A. Vitis} as reported by Mahmoodzadah \textit{et al.} 2003 and Kawaguchi \textit{et al.} 2008. On the other hand, the conjugate derivatives (X7 and X10) of \textit{A. tumefaciens} resulting from the conjugation between the pathogenic isolates (nos.7 and 10) and their non-pathogenic antibiotic resistance mutants (M7 and M10) acquired both the pathogenicity and the three antigens (that lost in M7 and M10) by comparison with the mother pathogenic isolates. This finding means that, there is a strong association between the pathogenicity and these acquired (or lost) antigens that located on the Ti plasmid of \textit{A. tumefaciens}. So, the Ti plasmid could be transferred from a pathogenic donor to a non pathogenic recipient by conjugation in tumoured plant seedlings and CIE was able to detect the losing or acquisition the plasmid.
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الملخص العربي

نقل بلازميد T في بكتريا أجرواكتيريوم تيوميفاشنس والكشف عنها سيرولوجيا

السيد القاضي ، عمر عمران ، محمد جبر ، أميمة علاء الدين
قسم النبات الزراعى – كلية الزراعة – جامعة كفر الشيخ
معهد أمراض النباتات – محطة البحوث الزراعية – سخا، مصر

تم عزل عزلتين ممرضتين ( رقم 7 و 10 ) من البكتريا أجرواكتيريوم تيوميفاشنس المسبب لمرض التدرن الناجي من شتلات تفتاح بمحافظة الإسكندرية والغربية بمصر. أمكن الحصول على طفقتين غير ممرضتين من تلك العزلات مما تدل على امتلاكها لحمض الببتيد البلازميد T. وذلك بالتعامل على درجة حرارة 37م لمدة 3 أيام متواجية مع التجديد اليومي للمزرعة والبلازا داخل الحضان.

وأمكن الحصول على أفراد مرضية ناجية من التزاوج بين العزلات المرضية والأم وطرفاتها الغير مرضية ( المقاومة لمضادات حيوية ) وذلك على تورمات درنية على شتلات النافذة مرضية من العدوى الصناعية بالعزلات الأم (M10–M7) وبالمقاومة السيرولوجية باستخدام تقنية الامينوإلكتروكروميترية بين تلك العزلات المرضية (الأم) ومشتقاتها المرضية والغير مرضية. وجد غياب ثلاثة أنواعيات في الطفقات الغير مرضية بينما كانت موجودة في كل من العزلات المرضية (الأم) ومشتقاتها المرضية الناجية من التزاوج (X10, X7).